

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/525,787 02/28/2005 Jean-Marie Rouillard CU-4099 RJS 8528 **EXAMINER** 26530 7590 08/16/2006 LADAS & PARRY LLP SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1600** CHICAGO, IL 60604 3683

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary   |   | Applicat  | on No.                                   | Applicant(s)                 |                    |  |
|---|---|---|--|------------------------------|--------------------|--|
|   |   | 10/525,7  | 87                                       | ROUILLARD ET AL.             |                    |  |
|   |   | Examine   | r  | Art Unit                     |                    |  |
|   |   |   | er P. Schwartz                           | 3683                         |                    |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| · <u> </u>  | ·   |   | action is non-final.                     |                              |                    |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition fo   | e this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |  |                              |                    |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
|   |   |   |  |                              | Schulet<br>Schulet |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |
|   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC | )-948)  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | (PTO-413) <b>///</b><br>ite. | RISTOMARY          |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:   |   |   |  |                              |                    |  |

il

## Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A ---- Figure 1

Species B ---- Figures 2,3

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the different types of valves in figure 1 at 110-410 are different than the ones at 510-810 in figures 2 and 3.

Art Unit: 3683

3. A telephone call was made to Ladas & Parry, LLP on 8/14/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 571-272-7123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim McClellan can be reached on 571-272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cps 8/14/06